

On the Radiatively Induced Lorentz and CPT Violating Chern-Simons Term

Yong-Liang Ma* and Yue-Liang Wu

Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics China (KITPC)

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics

University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

The radiatively induced Lorentz and CPT violating Chern-Simons terms in QED is calculated based on the recently developed loop regularization method [Y.L. Wu, Int.J.Mod.Phys.**A18** (2003) 5363, hep-th/0209021; Y.L. Wu, Mod.Phys.Lett.**A19** (2004) 2191, hep-th/0311082] for quantum field theories. It enables us to make general comments on the various results in literature and obtain a consistent result when simultaneously combining the evaluation for the chiral anomaly which has a unique form once the vector current is kept conserved.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Cp, 11.30.Er, 11.30.Rd

Quantum field theory based on the Lorentz and CPT invariance has got great triumphs. It is believed that CPT invariance is a fundamental property of a relativistic point particle. While it is also thought that, quantum field theory may not be an underlying theory but an effective theory describing physics phenomena below some characteristic energy scales[2]. One of the interesting extensions in quantum field theory has been paid on the investigation of the Lorentz and CPT violation[3, 4, 5]. For this case, in order to interpret the success of quantum field theory, the coupling constant of the extended terms is understood to be suppressed by a scale such as $m_W/M_P \simeq 10^{-17}$. The Lorentz violation was shown to be consistent with string theory in which the Lorentz invariance is violated spontaneously[6]. An intrinsically Lorentz non-invariant[7] can also appear in the noncommutative field theories[8, 9] as a deduction of string theory.

* Current address: Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Tuebingen, D-72076 Tuebingen, Germany

Naively, one can simply add Lorentz non-invariant terms to get a Lorentz violating quantum field theory. In general, the Lorentz symmetry can be broken down explicitly or spontaneously. It can also be induced from other terms of a large theory through quantum corrections. In this note, we will focus on the latter case and devote to investigate the Chern-Simons term $\frac{1}{2}k_\mu\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F_{\nu\alpha}A_\beta$ (with k_μ as a constant vector) from the extended QED(EQED)[12]

$$\mathcal{L}_f = \bar{\psi}(i\not{D} - m - \not{b}\gamma_5)\psi \quad (1)$$

with $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - iA_\mu$. Here m is the fermion mass and b_μ is a constant vector. Our goal is to determine the relation between k_μ and b_μ and discuss the ambiguities in various calculations.

The relation between k_μ and b_μ has been discussed by many groups, an infinity class may be resulted relying on various regularization schemes. Here we mainly comment on three interesting results:

1. $k_\mu = 0$ which was resulted based on the gauge invariance of the axial-vector current $j_{\mu 5}$ [5]. The same conclusion was also reached in [4] by using Pauli-Villars regularization in the case of $m \rightarrow \infty$, and in [10] by considering the gauge invariance and the conservation of vector Ward identity of the triangle diagram in the massive case $m \neq 0$, as well as in [11] via a consistent analysis based on dimensional regularization.
2. $k_\mu = \frac{3}{16\pi^2}b_\mu$ which was obtained via evaluating the relative amplitudes in a nonperturbative formulation[12] for the case $m \neq 0$. The same result was yielded in ref. [13] via the derivative expansion with the use of dimensional regularization and also reached in ref. [14] by keeping the full b_μ dependence in case of $m^2 \geq -b^2$.
3. $k_\mu = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2}b_\mu$ which was concluded in [14] for the case of $m = 0$.

In this note, we shall comment on the above results from an alternative calculation based on the recently developed Loop regularization[1] which has successfully been applied to obtain a consistent result for the chiral anomaly[15]. Consequently, we arrive at the following relations

$$k_\mu = 0 \quad (2)$$

for the case $m \neq 0$, which reproduces the result obtained in [4, 5, 10, 11], and

$$k_\mu = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}b_\mu \quad (3)$$

for the case $m = 0$.

We will also show that the results $k_\mu = \frac{3}{16\pi^2}b_\mu$ for the case $m = 0$ and $k_\mu = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2}b_\mu$ for the case $m \neq 0$ are resulted when making an alternative treatment for the linearly divergent integrals. Nevertheless, such an alternative treatment cannot obtain the standard form for the chiral triangle anomaly[16, 17] which has been found to be unique when the conservation of the vector current is imposed and been tested by the experiment in the process $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$.

We begin our considerations following the general description in ref.[12] and calculate the amplitude of three point Green functions with zero momentum for the axial-vector current. For this purpose, it only needs to keep the leading-order of b_μ , namely

$$\Pi_b^{\mu\nu}(q) = b_\lambda[\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)}(q) + \Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(2)}(q)] \quad (4)$$

where

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)}(q) = \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr}\left\{\gamma_\mu \frac{1}{\not{p} - m} \gamma_\nu \frac{1}{\not{p} + \not{q} - m} \gamma_\lambda \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\not{p} + \not{q} - m}\right\} \quad (5)$$

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(2)}(q) = \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr}\left\{\gamma_\mu \frac{1}{\not{p} - m} \gamma_\lambda \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\not{p} - m} \gamma_\nu \frac{1}{\not{p} + \not{q} - m}\right\} \quad (6)$$

To evaluate two amplitudes $\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)}$ and $\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(2)}$, a regularization scheme should be adopted as they are both divergent integrals. Also as they involve γ_5 in the amplitudes, the dimensional regularization is not suitable. Here we shall use the loop regularization(LR)[1] which is realized in the original dimension without changing the field content of the original theory. This is different from the Pauli-Villars(PV) regularization which introduces some superheavy particles as regulator fields. As a consequence, the LR scheme, unlike the PV scheme, can be applied to the Non-abelian gauge theory without spoiling any symmetries including the non-abelian gauge symmetry though two mass scales are intrinsically introduced. Those two mass scales play the role of characterizing energy scale (M_c) and sliding energy scale (μ_s). It has been shown that the LR scheme can be applied not only to the underlying gauge theories[1], but also to the effective quantum field theory for understanding the dynamically spontaneous symmetry breaking[18] and the chiral theory for clarifying the possible ambiguities appearing in chiral anomaly[15].

The prescription of loop regularization is simple[1]: Firstly evaluating the Feynman integrals into irreducible loop integrals(ILIs). The ILIs at one-loop level have the following

general forms

$$\begin{aligned} I_{-2a} &= \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(k^2 - M^2)^{2+a}} \\ I_{-2a \ \mu\nu} &= \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{(k^2 - M^2)^{3+a}}, \quad a = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Then replacing the integration variable k^2 and integration measure $\int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4}$ by the regularized ones

$$\begin{aligned} k^2 &\rightarrow [k^2]_l \equiv k^2 - M_l^2, \\ \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} &\rightarrow \int [\frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4}]_l \equiv \lim_{N, M_i^2} \sum_{l=0}^N c_l^N \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where c_l^N are the coefficients determined by the following conditions.

$$\lim_{N, M_i^2} \sum_{l=0}^N c_l^N (M_l^2)^n = 0, \quad c_0^N = 1 \quad (9)$$

with $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Note that taking $M_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mu_s = 0$, the initial integral is recovered. Also taking M_i and N to be infinity, so that the regularized theory becomes regulator independent. It has been shown that such regularized ILIs satisfy a set of consistent conditions[1]

$$I_{2\mu\nu}^R = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} I_2^R, \quad I_{0\mu\nu}^R = \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} I_0^R, \quad \dots \quad (10)$$

which ensure the gauge and Lorentz invariance. For the simple form of the regulator masses $M_l = \mu_s + M_R \ l \ (l = 0, 1, \dots)$, the coefficients c_l^N is found to be $c_l^N = (-1)^l \frac{N!}{(N-l)! \ l!}$. The integrals I_2^R and I_0^R are then given by the following explicit forms [1]

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^R &= -\frac{i}{16\pi^2} \{M_c^2 - \mu^2 [\ln \frac{M_c^2}{\mu^2} - \gamma_\omega + 1 + y_2(\frac{\mu^2}{M_c^2})]\} \\ I_0^R &= \frac{i}{16\pi^2} [\ln \frac{M_c^2}{\mu^2} - \gamma_\omega + y_0(\frac{\mu^2}{M_c^2})] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

with $\mu^2 = \mu_s^2 + M^2$, $\gamma_\omega = \gamma_E = 0.5772 \dots$, and

$$\begin{aligned} y_0(x) &= \int_0^x d\sigma \frac{1 - e^{-\sigma}}{\sigma}, \quad y_1(x) = \frac{e^{-x} - 1 + x}{x} \\ y_2(x) &= y_0(x) - y_1(x), \quad M_c^2 = \lim_{N, M_R} M_R^2 / \ln N \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here μ_s sets an IR ‘cutoff’ at $M^2 = 0$ and M_c provides an UV ‘cutoff’. For renormalizable quantum field theories, M_c can be taken to be infinity ($M_c \rightarrow \infty$). μ_s can safely runs to $\mu_s = 0$ in a theory without infrared divergence.

Let us now calculate the amplitude in eq.(4). We shall first consider $\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)}$. After using the following convention and relation

$$\text{Tr}\{\gamma_5\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\beta\} = -4i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}, \quad \epsilon_{0123} = 1 \quad (13)$$

$$2(p+q) \cdot p = (p+q)^2 + p^2 - q^2 \quad (14)$$

The amplitude $\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)}$ can be expressed in terms of the divergent part $\Pi_D^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)}$ and the convergent part $\Pi_C^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)}$

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)} = \Pi_D^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)} + \Pi_C^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)} \quad (15)$$

For the convergent part $\Pi_C^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)}$, its regularized amplitude is found in the limit $M_c \rightarrow \infty$ to be

$$\Pi_C^{\lambda\mu\nu,(1)R} = \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \epsilon_{\lambda\alpha\nu\mu} q_\alpha \int_0^1 dx x(1-x) \frac{q^2}{(m^2 + \mu_s^2) - x(1-x)q^2} \quad (16)$$

with x the Feynman parameter. For the divergent part, it can be decomposed into the logarithmically divergent part $\Pi_0^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)}$ and linearly divergent part $\Pi_1^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)}$

$$\Pi_D^{\lambda\mu\nu,(1)} = \Pi_0^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)} + \Pi_1^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)} &= 4 \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{-1}{[(p+q)^2 - m^2]^2 (p^2 - m^2)} \\ &\times \left\{ \epsilon_{\lambda\alpha\nu\beta} (p+q)_\alpha p_\beta (p+q)_\mu + \epsilon_{\lambda\alpha\beta\mu} (p+q)_\alpha p_\beta (p+q)_\nu \right. \\ &+ \epsilon_{\lambda\nu\beta\rho} (p+q)_\mu p_\beta (p+q)_\rho + \epsilon_{\lambda\beta\mu\rho} (p+q)_\nu p_\beta (p+q)_\rho \\ &+ \epsilon_{\alpha\nu\beta\mu} (p+q)_\alpha k_\beta (p+q)_\lambda - \epsilon_{\nu\mu\beta\rho} (p+q)_\lambda p_\beta (p+q)_\rho \left. \right\} \\ &- 4\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\alpha} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \left\{ \frac{q_\alpha}{[(p+q)^2 - m^2][p^2 - m^2]} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\Pi_1^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)} = 4\epsilon_{\lambda\alpha\nu\mu} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(p+q)_\alpha}{[(p+q)^2 - m^2]^2} \quad (19)$$

After applying the LR scheme to the divergent integrals, the regularized divergent part $\Pi_D^{\lambda\mu\nu,(1)R}$ becomes well defined and can be evaluated consistently. Explicit calculation gives

$$\Pi_0^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)R} = 0 \quad (20)$$

due to cancellation among the logarithmically divergent terms. For the regularized linearly

divergent part $\Pi_1^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_1^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)R} &= \lim_{N, M_l^2} \sum_{l=0}^N c_l^N \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{p_\mu + q_\mu}{[(p+q)^2 - \hat{M}_l^2]^2} \\
&= \lim_{N, M_l^2} \sum_{l=0}^N c_l^N \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{p_\mu}{[p^2 - \hat{M}_l^2]^2} \\
&\quad - \lim_{N, M_l^2} \sum_{l=0}^N c_l^N \frac{i}{32\pi^2} q_\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

with $\hat{M}_l^2 = M_l^2 + m^2$. Here we have used the following relation for the linearly divergent integral[20]

$$\int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{p_\mu}{[(p+q)^2 - m^2]^2} = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(p-q)_\mu}{[p^2 - m^2]^2} - \frac{i}{32\pi^2} q_\mu \tag{22}$$

By using the consistent condition in eq. (9) with $n = 0$, the second term of eq. (21) vanishes. Its first term also manifestly vanishes due to odd property of its integrant function. Thus the regularized linearly divergent integral also vanishes

$$\Pi_1^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)R} = 0 \tag{23}$$

As a consequence, the regularized amplitude $\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)R}$ is given in the limit $M_c \rightarrow \infty$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)R} &= \Pi_C^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)R} + \Pi_0^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)R} + \Pi_1^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)R} \\
&= \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\alpha} q_\alpha \int_0^1 dx \frac{-x(1-x)q^2}{(m^2 + \mu_s^2) - x(1-x)q^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

The calculation for the second part $\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(2)}$ is almost the same as $\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)}$. The only difference is that there is no linear divergent integral in $\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(2)}$. After making a proper rearrangement for the various terms, we have

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(2)R} = \Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)R} \tag{25}$$

The full regularized amplitude of $\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,R} &= \Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(1)R} + \Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,(2)R} \\
&= \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\alpha} q_\alpha \int_0^1 dx \frac{-x(1-x)q^2}{(m^2 + \mu_s^2) - x(1-x)q^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

As EQED is known to be free of IR divergence, one can safely set $\mu_s = 0$. We then arrive at the results

$$k_\mu = \begin{cases} 0, & m \neq 0; \\ \frac{1}{4\pi^2} b_\mu, & m = 0. \end{cases}$$

which has been emphasized in eqs.(2) and (3). Thus the solution based on LR scheme[1] confirms the statement in refs.[4, 5, 10, 11] for the case $m \neq 0$. It also becomes clear that for the massless case or only when the mass is much less than the momentum of currents, a non-zero Chern-Simons term which violates Lorentz invariance can be induced. It was also shown in [10] that the result in the massless case is equal to the one with a finite mass minus $1/(4\pi^2)b_\mu$, a sign difference is caused by the definition. The result based on the Schwinger proper-time method was found to be smaller by a factor of two in [19], its possible ambiguity may arise from the treatment on the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x_\mu x_\nu / x^2 = C g_{\mu\nu}$ with C arbitrary in general and the value $C = 1/4$ was taken in [19] for four dimensions.

In fact, it has been noticed in ref.[15] that for the chiral anomaly of triangle diagrams, when the fermion mass is much larger than the momentum square of the currents, it becomes anomaly free. Only when the fermion mass is much less than the momentum square of the currents, or in the massless case, the standard form of anomaly can be yielded.

We now comment on the other two results based on the LR scheme with paying attention to the treatment for the linearly divergent integrals. In general, one may first use the relation eq.(22) and then apply the LR prescription only to the logarithmically divergent part. If doing so, the finite surface term would be survived and the full renormalized amplitude becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda,R} = & \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\alpha} q_\alpha \int_0^1 dx \frac{-x(1-x)q^2}{(m^2 + \mu_s^2) - x(1-x)q^2} \\ & - \frac{i}{8\pi^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\alpha} q_\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Taking $\mu_s = 0$, we obtain the following two relations

$$k_\mu = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{16\pi^2} b_\mu, & m = 0; \\ -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} b_\mu, & m \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

which coincide with two results yielded in ref. [12] and ref.[14] but with opposite mass limits.

As mentioned in the introduction, the Chern-Simons term was also evaluated in[13] by adopting the derivative expansion with the use of dimensional regularization and the same result as ref.[12] was arrived. Nevertheless, in that derivation, the gamma matrix and momentum integral were treated separately. Namely, the trace for gamma matrices was treated in four dimensions while the momentum integral is in d dimensions. Such a treatment is not exact as some of the gamma matrices may live in d dimensions when they contract

with the integration momentum. In fact, it is already known that γ_5 is an intrinsically four dimensional object and its definition in dimensional regularization is ambiguous. Such an ambiguity may cause some ambiguities in the direct calculations of chiral anomaly[15]. For the calculation in ref. [14], a symmetric momentum integral is adopted. However, this treatment is not exact in some cases as mentioned in ref. [15].

The question then becomes which procedure is the right one for applying the prescription of LR scheme. To answer this question, it is helpful to check the well-known chiral anomaly of triangle diagrams. It is not difficult to find that for the linearly divergent integrals if adopting the relation eq.(22) to reduce a linear divergence to a logarithmical divergence, and applying the LR prescription only to the logarithmical divergent integrals, then the resulting vector currents become not conserved due to anomaly term, meanwhile the axial-vector current also gets a corresponding additional anomaly term. As a standard procedure, when one makes a redefinition to keep the vector current conserved, the corresponding additional anomaly term in the axial-vector current simultaneously disappears. As a consequence, the final result is equivalent to drop away the finite term in the relation eq.(22) for a linearly divergent integral. That means we shall adopt the initial prescription of LR scheme, namely apply the LR scheme directly to the linearly divergent integrals. This should be the case as the LR scheme preserves translational symmetry.

From the above analyzes, we can draw the conclusion that the results $k_\mu = 0$ for $m \neq 0$ and $k_\mu = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}b_\mu$ for $m = 0$ are consistent and unique solutions based on the loop regularization method. Note that when directly evaluating the diagrams with zero momentum for the axial-vector current, the additional anomaly term in eq.(27) does not violate vector current conservation law. It is because of this reason that causes difficulty to judge which procedure is more reliable without combining the calculations of chiral anomaly which has a unique form.

In conclusion, based on the recently developed loop regularization method[1], the ambiguities in calculating the radiatively induced Lorentz and CPT violating Chern-Simons term in EQED can be clarified when simultaneously combining the calculations of chiral anomaly which has well been understood from the loop regularization calculation[15].

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank R. Jackiw for his suggestion of performing the calculation based on loop regularization. This work was supported in part by the key projects of Chinese Academy of Sciences, the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under the grant 10475105, 10491306. This research was also supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY99-07949.

-
- [1] Y.L. Wu, Int.J.Mod.Phys.**A18**: 5363 (2003), hep-th/0209021;
Y.L. Wu, Mod.Phys.Lett.**A19**: 2191 (2004), hep-th/0311082.
 - [2] S.Weinberg. *Quantum Field Theory*, Vol.1. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
 - [3] S.Carroll, G.Field and R.Jackiw, Phys.Rev.**D41**: 1231 (1990).
 - [4] D.Colladay and V.A.Kosteletsky, Phys.Rev.**D58**: 116002 (1998).
 - [5] S.Coleman and S.L.Glashow, Phys.Rev.**D59**: 116008 (1999).
 - [6] V.A.Kosteletsky and S.Samuel, Phys.Rev.Lett.**63**: 224 (1989).
 - [7] S.M.Carroll, J.A.Harvey, V.A.Kosteletsky, C.D.Lane and T.Okamoto, Phys.Rev.Lett.**87**: 141601 (2001).
 - [8] Z. Guralnik, R. Jackiw, S.Y. Pi, A.P. Polychronakos; Phys. Lett. **B517** 450 (2001).
 - [9] For a review see, e.g., I.Hinchliffe, N.Kersting and Y.L. Ma, Int.J.Mod.Phys.**A19**: 179 (2004).
 - [10] M.Perez-Victoria, JHEP 0104 032 (2001).
 - [11] G.Bonneau, Nucl.Phys. **B593** 398 (2001).
 - [12] R.Jackiw and V.A.Kosteletsky, Phys.Rev.Lett.**82**: 3572 (1999).
 - [13] J.M.Chung and P.Oh, Phys.Rev.**D60**: 067702 (1999).
 - [14] M.Perez-Victoria, Phys.Rev.Lett.**83**: 2518 (1999).
 - [15] Y.L. Ma and Y.L. Wu, Int.J. Mod. Phys. **A21** 6383 (2006), hep-ph/0509083.
 - [16] J.S. Bell and R. Jackiw, Nuovo Cimento **A 60**: 47 (1969).
 - [17] S.L. Adler, Phys. Rev. **177**: 2426 (1969).
 - [18] Y.B. Dai and Y.L. Wu, Eur.Phys.J. **C39**: S1 (2005).
 - [19] M. Chaichian, W.F. Chen, R. Gonzalez Felipe, Phys. Lett. **B503** 215 (2001).
 - [20] J.M.Jauch and F.Rohrlich. *The Theory of Photons and Electrons*. Addison-Wesley Pub Co

1995.